## CASEF JUDGING SCORESHEET MARI PROJECTS PROJECT # \_\_\_\_\_

MATH, RESEARCH, AND INFORMATICS

Project	Guidelines	Points	Points
Category	Note: Every bullet point may not apply to every project	Available	Awarded
Project Definition	-The student/team understood the project's potential applications and has a clear purpose/objective - There is clear significant insight into both the topic of research and the research process	10	
Design and Methodology	<ul> <li>Systematic data collection and analyses/well-designed plan for data collection methods/system</li> <li>The background research was satisfactory and appropriate - references were cited</li> <li>There is a direct link provided between the data sets and research question</li> <li>The mathematical approach (proofs, graphs, formulas, etc.) was clearly explained</li> <li>Determined the significance or relevance of information/data needed for the task</li> </ul>	20	
Evaluation and Interpretation	<ul> <li>Appropriate application of mathematical and statistical methods</li> <li>Sufficient data collected to support interpretation and conclusion</li> <li>Put findings in context, explained importance/relevance and impact regarding science</li> <li>Correctly identified sources of error</li> <li>Completely and accurately converted information/data from the resources</li> <li>Provided meaning; made inferences and predictions; or extracted patterns from data</li> </ul>	20	
Creativity	- The objective was original and showed creativity in choosing the problem and/or the methodology	10	
Presentation			
Display & Research Paper	<ul> <li>Logical organization or material</li> <li>Clarity of graphics and legends</li> <li>Background research was satisfactory and appropriate references were cited.</li> <li>The description of the project was clear, adequately detailed, and well documented</li> </ul>	15	
Logbook	<ul> <li>Available for review</li> <li>Chronological account in ink</li> <li>Discarded entry crossed through but visible</li> <li>Evidence of data collection, statistical analyses, and interpretation of analyses</li> </ul>	15	
Interview	<ul> <li>The student showed a good understanding of the problem and its significance, explained the methodology used and the possible application of the conclusions</li> <li>The student/team had ideas on what to do next and/or what to do differently next time</li> <li>The student/team was able to answer questions about the project in a thoughtful way</li> <li>The student/team understood the limitations of the project and the data/results</li> <li>TEAM show coordinated effort</li> </ul>	10	
TOTAL	AWARDS First: 90 – 100 Second: 80 – 89 Third: 70-79 Honorable Mention: below 69	100	

Signature	Judges Number	